

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROSCOE CHAMBERS,

Plaintiff,

v.

WARDEN DAVID J. EBBERT,  
et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:18-cv-01207

(MARIANI, J.)  
(SAPORITO, M.J.)

ORDER *m/s*

AND NOW, this 18<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2018, in accordance  
with the accompanying Memorandum, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**  
**THAT:**

1. The plaintiff's *pro se* "Objection to Magistrate Judge Order Granting Defendants Enlargement of Time" (Doc. 18) is **DENIED** to the extent it may be liberally construed as motion for reconsideration;
2. The plaintiff's *pro se* "Objection" (Doc. 18) is **DENIED** to the extent it may be liberally construed as a motion for recusal; and
3. The Clerk is directed to re-docket the plaintiff's "Objection" (Doc. 18) also as an Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision to District Court with respect to our Order granting the defendants a 60-day extension of

time to respond to the complaint (Doc. 16).

*Joseph F. Saporito, Jr.*  
JOSEPH F. Saporito, JR.  
United States Magistrate Judge